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Lecture 3: Requirements Engineering
“A requirement” is a statement of desired behavior for a system or a constraint on a system

“The requirements” for a system are the collection of all such individual requirements

“Requirements engineering” is the process of defining the services that a customer requires from a system and the constraints under which it operates
The hardest single part of building a software system is deciding precisely what to build. No other part of the conceptual work is as difficult as establishing the detailed technical requirements, including all the interfaces to people, to machines, and to other software systems. No other part of the work so cripples the resulting system if done wrong. No other part is more difficult to rectify later.

*For sources cited, see bibliography
Statements about requirements: Boehm

Relative cost to correct a defect

Source*: Boehm 81
Some data on requirements

80% of interface fault and 20% of implementation faults due to requirements (Perry & Stieg, 1993)

48% to 67% of safety-related faults in NASA software systems due to misunderstood hardware interface specifications, of which 2/3rds are due to requirements (Lutz, 1993)

85% of defects due to requirements, of which: incorrect assumptions 49%, omitted requirements 29%, inconsistent requirements 13% (Young, 2001).

Numerous software bugs due to poor requirements, e.g. Mars Climate Orbiter
A small case study

Consider a small library database with the following transactions:

3. Get the list of books by a particular author or in a particular subject area.
4. Find out the list of books currently checked out by a particular borrower.
5. Find out what borrower last checked out a particular copy of a book.

There are two types of users: staff users and ordinary borrowers.

Transactions 1, 2, 4, and 5 are restricted to staff users, except that ordinary borrowers can perform transaction 4 to find out the list of books currently borrowed by themselves. The database must also satisfy the following constraints:

- All copies in the library must be available for checkout or be checked out.
- No copy of the book may be both available and checked out at the same time.
- A borrower may not have more than a predefined number of books checked out at one time.

Source*: Wing 88
Overview of the requirements task
Goals of performing requirements

- Understand the problem or problems that the eventual software system, if any, should solve
- Prompt relevant questions about the problem & system
- Provide basis for answering questions about specific properties of the problem & system
- Decide what the system should do
- Decide what the system should not do
- Ascertain that the system will satisfy the needs of its stakeholders
- Provide basis for development of the system
- Provide basis for V & V* of the system

*Validation & Verification, especially testing
Products of requirements

- Requirements document
- Development plan
- V&V plan (especially test plan)
Practical advice

Don’t forget that the requirements also determine the test plan
Possible requirements **stakeholders**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clients (tailor-made system)</th>
<th>Legal experts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Customers (product for general sale)</td>
<td>Purchasing agents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clients’ and customers’ customers</td>
<td>Software developers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Users</td>
<td>Software project managers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Domain experts</td>
<td>Software documenters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Market analysts</td>
<td>Software testers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unions?</td>
<td>Trainers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Consultants</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consider a small library database with the following transactions:

3. Get the list of books by a particular author or in a particular subject area.
4. Find out the list of books currently checked out by a particular borrower.
5. Find out what borrower last checked out a particular copy of a book.

There are two types of users: staff users and ordinary borrowers.

Transactions 1, 2, 4, and 5 are restricted to staff users, except that ordinary borrowers can perform transaction 4 to find out the list of books currently borrowed by themselves. The database must also satisfy the following constraints:

- All copies in the library must be available for checkout or be checked out.
- No copy of the book may be both available and checked out at the same time.
- A borrower may not have more than a predefined number of books checked out at one time.
Practical advice

Identify all relevant stakeholders early on
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Requirements categories</th>
<th>Functional</th>
<th>Non-functional</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Full system</td>
<td>Software only</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Procedural VS Informal</td>
<td>Object-oriented</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Textual</td>
<td>Formal</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Executable</td>
<td>Graphical</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Non-executable
Components of requirements

- Domain properties
- Functional requirements
- Non-functional requirements (reliability, security, accuracy of results, time and space performance, portability...)
- Requirements on process and evolution
15 quality goals for requirements

- Justified
- Correct
- Complete
- Consistent
- Unambiguous
- Feasible
- Abstract
- Traceable
- Delimited
- Interfaced
- Readable
- Modifiable
- Verifiable
- Prioritized*
- Endorsed

* “Ranked for importance and/or stability”

Attributes in red are part of IEEE 830, see below.
Notes on quality goals

For further information on goals of IEEE-830 (*red ones* in previous slide) see the standard

**Justified:** Every requirement is related to a stakeholder’s need or has a connection with an external component.

**Feasible:** The reqs. (requirements) capture a system that can be realized given time, and resource constraints. They should not be merely wishful thinking.

**Abstract:** The reqs. should not overspecify the system, i.e. they should not be implementation-oriented.

**Delimited:** The reqs. define the scope of the project.

**Interfaced:** The reqs. describe all interactions between the system and external components.

**Readable:** See discussion in 4.3.2.1 and 4.3.2.2 of IEEE-830.

**Endorsed:** Stakeholders must agree with the reqs.
Difficulties of requirements

- Natural language and its imprecision
- Formal techniques and their abstraction
- Users and their vagueness
- Customers and their demands
- The rest of the world and its complexity
Stereotypes

How developers see users
- Don't know what they want
- Can't articulate what they want
- Have too many needs that are politically motivated
- Want everything right now.
- Can't prioritize needs
- “Me first”, not company first
- Refuse to take responsibility for the system
- Unable to provide a usable statement of needs
- Not committed to system development projects
- Unwilling to compromise
- Can't remain on schedule

How users see developers
- Don't understand operational needs.
- Too much emphasis on technicalities.
- Try to tell us how to do our jobs.
- Can't translate clearly stated needs into a successful system.
- Say no all the time.
- Always over budget.
- Always late.
- Ask users for time and effort, even to the detriment of their primary duties.
- Set unrealistic standards for requirements definition.
- Unable to respond quickly to legitimately changing needs.

Source: Scharer 81
A simple problem

Given a text consisting of words separated by BLANKS or by NL (new line) characters, convert it to a line-by-line form in accordance with the following rules:

1. Line breaks must be made only where the given text has BLANK or NL;
2. Each line is filled as far as possible as long as:
3. No line will contain more than MAXPOS characters

See discussion at [se.ethz.ch/~meyer/publications/ieee/formalism.pdf](http://se.ethz.ch/~meyer/publications/ieee/formalism.pdf)
The program's input is a stream of characters whose end is signaled with a special end-of-text character, \textit{ET}. There is exactly one \textit{ET} character in each input stream. Characters are classified as:

- Break characters — \textit{BL} (blank) and \textit{NL} (new line);
- Nonbreak characters — all others except \textit{ET};
- The end-of-text indicator — \textit{ET}.

A word is a nonempty sequence of nonbreak characters. A break is a sequence of one or more break characters. Thus, the input can be viewed as a sequence of words separated by breaks, with possibly leading and trailing breaks, and ending with \textit{ET}.

The program's output should be the same sequence of words as in the input, with the exception that an oversize word (i.e. a word containing more than \textit{MAXPOS} characters, where \textit{MAXPOS} is a positive integer) should cause an error exit from the program (i.e. a variable, \textit{Alarm}, should have the value \texttt{TRUE}). Up to the point of an error, the program's output should have the following properties:

1. A new line should start only between words and at the beginning of the output text, if any.
2. A break in the input is reduced to a single break character in the output.
3. As many words as possible should be placed on each line (i.e., between successive \textit{NL} characters).
4. No line may contain more than \textit{MAXPOS} characters (words and \textit{BLs}).
The program's input is a stream of characters whose end is signaled with a special end-of-text character, \textit{ET}. There is exactly one \textit{ET} character in each input stream. Characters are classified as:

- Break characters — \textit{BL} (blank) and \textit{NL} (new line);
- Nonbreak characters — all others except \textit{ET};
- The end-of-text indicator — \textit{ET}.

A \textit{word} is a nonempty sequence of nonbreak characters. A \textit{break} is a sequence of one or more break characters. Thus, the input can be viewed as a sequence of words separated by breaks, with possibly leading and trailing breaks, and ending with \textit{ET}.

The program's output should be the same sequence of words as in the input, with the exception that an oversize word (i.e. a word containing more than \textit{MAXPOS} characters, where \textit{MAXPOS} is a positive integer) should cause an error exit from the program (i.e. a variable, \textit{Alarm}, should have the value \textit{TRUE}). Up to the point of an error, the program's output should have the following properties:

1. A new line should start only between words and at the beginning of the output text, if any.
2. A break in the input is reduced to a single break character in the output.
3. As many words as possible should be placed on each line (i.e., between successive \textit{NL} characters).
4. No line may contain more than \textit{MAXPOS} characters (words and \textit{BL}s).
The formal specification

where

\[ \text{TRIMMED} \ (b) = \{ s \in \text{EQUIVALENT} \ (b) \mid \text{max_line_length} \ (s) \leq \text{MAXPOS} \} \]

\[ \text{EQUIVALENT} \ (b) = \{ s \in \text{seq} \ (\text{CHAR}) \mid \text{length} \ (s) = \text{length} \ (b) \text{ and} \]
\[ (\forall i \in 1..\text{length} \ (b), \ s(i) \neq b(i) \Rightarrow \]
\[ s(i) \in \text{BREAK_CHAR} \text{ and} \]
\[ b(i) \in \text{BREAK_CHAR} \} \]

\[ \text{max_line_length} \ (s) = \max \ (|j-i|) \]
\[ 0 \leq i \leq j \leq \text{length} \ (s) \text{ and} \]
\[ (\forall k \in i+1..j, \ s(k) \neq \text{new_line}) \}

A few explanations may help in understanding these definitions. If \( s \) is a sequence of characters, \( \text{max_line_length} \ (s) \) is the maximum length of a line in \( s \), expressed as the maximum number of consecutive characters, none of which is a new line. In other words, it is the maximum value of \( |j-i| \) such that \( s(k) \) is not a new line for any \( k \) in the interval \( i+1..j \). (We will have more to say about this definition below.) \( \text{EQUIVALENT} \ (b) \) is the set of sequences that are “equivalent” to sequence \( b \) in the sense of being identical, except that \( \text{new_line} \) characters may be substituted for blank characters or vice versa. Finally, \( \text{TRIMMED} \ (b) \) is the set of sequences which are “equivalent” to \( b \) and have a maximum line length less than or equal to \( \text{MAXPOS} \).

**Fewest lines.** Let \( \text{SSC} \) be a set of sequences of characters. These sequences can be interpreted as consisting of lines separated by \( \text{new_line} \) characters. We define the set \( \text{FEWEST_LINES} \ (\text{SSC}) \) as the subset of \( \text{SSC} \) consisting of those sequences that have as few lines as possible:

\[ \text{FEWEST_LINES} \ (\text{SSC}) = \{ s \mid \text{MIN_SET} \ (\text{SSC}, \text{number_of_new_lines}) \}
\]

where the function \( \text{number_of_new_lines} \) is defined by:

\[ \text{number_of_new_lines} \ (s) = \text{card} \ (\{ i \in 1..\text{length} \ (s) \mid s(i) = \text{new_line} \}) \]

and \( \text{card} \ (X) \), defined for any finite set \( X \), is the number of elements (cardinal) of \( X \).

**The basic relation.** The above definitions allow us to define the basic relation of the problem, relation \( \text{goal} \), precisely. Relation \( \text{goal} \ (i,o) \) holds between input \( i \) and output \( o \), both of which are sequences of characters, if and only if:

\[ o \in \text{FEWEST_LINES} \ (\text{TRANSF} \ (i)) \]

\[ \text{TRANSF} \ (i) \] is the set of sequences related to \( i \) by the composition of the two relations \( \text{short_breaks} \) and \( \text{limited_length} \):

\[ \text{TRANSF} \ (i) = \{ s \in \text{seq} \ (\text{CHAR}) \mid (r \ (i,s)) \}
\]

with

\[ tr = \text{limited_length} \cdot \text{short_breaks} \]

The dot operator denotes the composition of relations (see box). A look at

\[ \text{dom} \ (\text{goal}) = \{ s \in \text{seq} \ (\text{CHAR}) \mid \forall i, l, t, \text{MAXPOS}, \]
\[ s(j) \in \text{BREAK_CHAR}, (j \neq l, t) \}

The property expressed by this theorem is that the domain of relation \( \text{goal} \) consists of sequences such that, if a character \( c \) is followed by \( \text{MAXPOS} \) other characters, at least one character among \( c \) and the other characters must be a break.

An important problem, not addressed here, is how the specification deals with erroneous cases—that is, with inputs not in the domain of the \( \text{goal} \) relation—like sequences with oversized words. Clearly, a robust and complete specification should include (along with \( \text{goal} \)) another relation, say, \( \text{exceptional} \), whose domain is \( \text{INPUT} - \text{dom} \ (\text{goal}) \) (set difference); this relation would complement \( \text{goal} \) by defining alternative results (usually some kind of error message) for erroneous inputs. Formal specification of erroneous cases falls beyond the scope of this article, but a discussion of the problem and precise definitions of terms such as “error,” “failure,” and “exception” can be found in a paper by Cristian.4

**Discussion.** What we have obtained is an abstract specification—this is, a mathematical description of the problem. It would be difficult to criticize this specification as being oriented toward a particular implementation; if

\[ \text{Composition of relations} \]

Let \( r \) and \( t \) be two relations: \( r \) is from \( X \) to \( Y \) and \( t \) is from \( Y \) to \( Z \) (see figure).

The composition of these two relations, written \( r \circ t \) (note the order), is the relation \( w \) between sets \( X \) and \( Z \) such that \( w \ (x, z) \) holds if and only if there is (at least) one element \( y \) in \( Y \) such that \( r \ (x, y) \) and \( t \ (y, z) \) hold.

Thus, in the example illustrated, \( w \) holds for the pairs \( \langle x_1, z_1 \rangle, \langle x_1, z_2 \rangle, \text{ and } \langle x_3, z_3 \rangle \) (and for these pairs only).

January 1985
Given are a non-negative integer \( \textit{MAXPOS} \) and a character set including two "break characters" blank and new_line.

The program shall accept as input a finite sequence of characters and produce as output a sequence of characters satisfying the following conditions:

- It only differs from the input by having a single break character wherever the input has one or more break characters.
- Any \( \textit{MAXPOS}+1 \) consecutive characters include a new_line.
- The number of new_line characters is minimal.
- If (and only if) an input sequence contains a group of \( \textit{MAXPOS}+1 \) consecutive non-break characters, there exists no such output. In this case, the program shall produce the output associated with the initial part of the sequence up to and including the \( \textit{MAXPOS} \)-th character of the first such group, and report the error.
Practical advice

Do not underestimate the potential for help from mathematics
Bad requirements

The Background Task Manager shall provide status messages at regular intervals not less than 60 seconds.

Better:

The Background Task Manager (BTM) shall display status messages in a designated area of the user interface

1. The messages shall be updated every 60 plus or minus 10 seconds after background task processing begins.
2. The messages shall remain visible continuously.
3. Whenever communication with the background task process is possible, the BTM shall display the percent completed of the background task.
Bad requirements

The XML Editor shall switch between displaying and hiding non-printing characters instantaneously.

Better:

The user shall be able to toggle between displaying and hiding all XML tags in the document being edited with the activation of a specific triggering mechanism. The display shall change in 0.1 seconds or less.
Bad requirements

*Source: Wiegers*

The XML parser shall produce a markup error report that allows quick resolution of errors when used by XML novices.

Better:

1. After the XML Parser has completely parsed a file, it shall produce an error report that contains the line number and text of any XML errors found in the parsed file and a description of each error found.

2. If no parsing errors are found, the parser shall not produce an error report.
The two constant pitfalls

- **Committing too early to an implementation**
  - Overspecification!

- **Missing parts of the problem**
  - Underspecification!
15 quality goals for requirements

- Justified
- Correct
- Complete
- Consistent
- Unambiguous
- Feasible
- Abstract

- Traceable
- Delimited
- Interfaced
- Readable
- Modifiable
- Testable
- Prioritized
- Endorsed
Verifiable requirements

Non-verifiable:
- The system shall work satisfactorily
- The interface shall be user-friendly
- The system shall respond in real time

Verifiable:
- The output shall in all cases be produced within 30 seconds of the corresponding input event. It shall be produced within 10 seconds for at least 80% of input events.
- Professional train drivers will reach level 1 of proficiency (defined in requirements) in two days of training.

Adapted from: IEEE
Favor precise, falsifiable language over pleasant generalities
Complete requirements

Complete with respect to what?

Definition from IEEE standard (see next):

An SRS (Software Requirements Specification) is complete if, and only if, it includes the following elements:

- All significant requirements, whether relating to functionality, performance, design constraints, attributes, or external interfaces. In particular any external requirements imposed by a system specification should be acknowledged and treated.

- Definition of the responses of the software to all realizable classes of input data in all realizable classes of situations. Note that it is important to specify the responses to both valid and invalid input values.

- Full labels and references to all figures, tables, and diagrams in the SRS and definition of all terms and units of measure.
Completeness cannot be “completely” defined

But (taking advantage of the notion of sufficient completeness for abstract data types) we can cross-check:

- Commands x Queries

to verify that every effect is defined
Practical advice

Think negatively
The two parts of requirements

Purpose: to capture the user needs for a “machine” to be built

Jackson’s view: define success as

\[ \text{machine specification} \land \text{domain properties} \Rightarrow \text{requirement} \]

- **Domain properties**: outside constraints (e.g. can only modify account as a result of withdrawal or deposit)

- **Requirement**: desired system behavior (e.g. withdrawal of \(n\) francs decreases balance by \(n\))

- **Machine specification**: desired properties of the machine (e.g. request for withdrawal will, if accepted, lead to update of the balance)
Domain requirements

Domain assumption: trains & cars travel at certain max speeds

Requirement: no collision in railroad crossing
Consider a small library database with the following transactions:

3. Get the list of books by a particular author or in a particular subject area.
4. Find out the list of books currently checked out by a particular borrower.
5. Find out what borrower last checked out a particular copy of a book.

There are two types of users: staff users and ordinary borrowers.

Transactions 1, 2, 4, and 5 are restricted to staff users, except that ordinary borrowers can perform transaction 4 to find out the list of books currently borrowed by themselves. The database must also satisfy the following constraints:

- All copies in the library must be available for checkout or be checked out.
- No copy of the book may be both available and checked out at the same time.
- A borrower may not have more than a predefined number of books checked out at one time.
Practical advice

Distinguish machine specification from domain properties
Standards and Methods
The purpose of standards

Software engineering standards:

- Define common practice.
- Guide new engineers.
- Make software engineering processes comparable.
- Enable certification.
“IEEE Recommended Practice for Software Requirements Specifications”

Approved 25 June 1998 (revision of earlier standard)

Descriptions of the content and the qualities of a good software requirements specification (SRS).

Goal: “The SRS should be correct, unambiguous, complete, consistent, ranked for importance and/or stability, verifiable, modifiable, traceable.”
15 quality goals for requirements

- Justified
- Correct
- Complete
- Consistent
- Unambiguous
- Feasible
- Abstract
- Traceable
- Delimited
- Interfaced
- Readable
- Modifiable
- Testable
- Prioritized
- Endorsed
Contract:
A legally binding document agreed upon by the customer and supplier. This includes the technical and organizational requirements, cost, and schedule for a product. A contract may also contain informal but useful information such as the commitments or expectations of the parties involved.

Customer:
The person, or persons, who pay for the product and usually (but not necessarily) decide the requirements. In the context of this recommended practice the customer and the supplier may be members of the same organization.

Supplier:
The person, or persons, who produce a product for a customer. In the context of this recommended practice, the customer and the supplier may be members of the same organization.

User:
The person, or persons, who operate or interact directly with the product. The user(s) and the customer(s) are often not the same person(s).
Basic issues to be addressed by an SRS:

- Functionality
- External interfaces
- Performance
- Attributes
- Design constraints imposed on an implementation
Recommended document structure:

1. Introduction
   1.1 Purpose
   1.2 Scope
   1.3 Definitions, acronyms, and abbreviations ← Glossary!
   1.4 References
   1.5 Overview

2. Overall description
   2.1 Product perspective
   2.2 Product functions
   2.3 User characteristics
   2.4 Constraints
   2.5 Assumptions and dependencies

3. Specific requirements

Appendixes
Index
Practical advice

Use the recommended IEEE structure
Practical advice

Write a glossary
1. Introduction
   1.1 Purpose
   1.2 Scope
   1.3 Definitions, acronyms, and abbreviations
   1.4 References
   1.5 Overview

2. Overall description
   2.1 Product perspective
   2.2 Product functions
   2.3 User characteristics
   2.4 Constraints
   2.5 Assumptions and dependencies

3. Specific requirements

Appendixes

Index
Section: purpose

- Delineate the purpose of the SRS
- Specify intended audience
Section: **scope**

- Identify software product to be produced by name (e.g., Host DBMS, Report Generator, etc.)
- Explain what the product will and will not do
- Describe application of the software: goals and benefits
- Establish relation with higher-level system requirements if any
Example of purpose and scope (1)

1.1 Purpose
This document specifies the Software Requirements Specification (SRS) for the Project Management System (PMS). It describes scope of the system, both functional and non-functional requirements for the software, design constraints and system interfaces.

1.2 Scope
The Project Management System addresses the management of software projects. It provides the framework for organizing and managing resources in such a way that these resources deliver all the work required to complete a software project within defined scope, time and cost constraints.

The system applies only to the management of software projects and is a tool that facilitates decision making; the PMS does not make decisions.

This SRS describes only required functionality of PMS, not the functionality of external systems like data storage, change management or version control systems.

This document does not divide the PMS into subsystems; it describes only requirements for the whole-system functionality which is defined in the use case model.

1.2.1 Use Case Model
To define and organize the functional requirements of the PMS, this document uses as a basis the use case model. The use case model consists of all actors of the system and all the various use cases by which the actor interact with the system and describes the total functional behaviour of the system. The use cases are defined in the 3 Use Case diagrams.
1.1 Purpose

This document represents the Software Requirements Specification (SRS) for the LOGIC sub-component of the Tschau Sepp Game Component. It is designed and written for the stake holders, such as the teaching assistants, professors and developers involved in the project. Its purpose is to describe the scope, both the functional and non-functional software requirements, as well as the design constraints of the whole LOGIC sub-component. Furthermore, this document shows how the system’s interfaces are designed in detail.

1.2 Scope

The Tschau Sepp Game Component is an implementation of the Swiss card game Tschau Sepp to be used by the overall Multiplayer Card Games system. For a better description of the scope of the system, the Tschau Sepp Game Component Scope Document should be consulted.

The scope of the LOGIC sub-component is to simulate a Tschau Sepp game between multiple players by maintaining the game state and by enforcing the rules of the game. Issues related to how the game is shown on the screen or how the involved computers communicate in detail via network lie outside of the scope of this sub-component.
Section: definitions, acronyms, abbreviations

Define all terms, acronyms, and abbreviations required to properly interpret the SRS.
Example of definitions... (1)

1.3 Definitions, Acronyms and Abbreviations

The following table explains the terms and abbreviations used in the document.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term/Abbreviation</th>
<th>Explanation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PMS</td>
<td>Project Management System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMS</td>
<td>Change Management System (Bug tracking tool)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVS</td>
<td>Concurrent Versions System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VSS</td>
<td>Microsoft Visual SourceSafe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PERT</td>
<td>Program Evaluation and Review Technique</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GUI</td>
<td>Graphical User Interface</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAMP</td>
<td>A server that is running Linux, Apache, My-SQL and PHP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBMS</td>
<td>Database Management System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DSS</td>
<td>Data Storage System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RBAC</td>
<td>Role Based Access Control</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1.4 Glossary

The glossary defines the key terms and concepts mentioned and used in this SRS.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Word</th>
<th>Explanation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project Management System</td>
<td>The subject of this document. Represents the whole solution as aggregate of all subsystems and interfaces.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Host System</td>
<td>The main part of the system that resides on the server and where the business logic runs. Maintains physical connections to all external systems (data storage system, version control and change management systems)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The following table explains the key terms and abbreviations used in the document:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Player</td>
<td>A person who can interact with the game application that has been started and is not terminated.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>User</td>
<td>A potential player of the game.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Server</td>
<td>Refers to the <em>Multiplayer Card Games</em> server.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Client</td>
<td>Refers to the whole <em>Tschau Sepp Game Component</em> that is connected to the <em>Multiplayer Card Games</em> server.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOGIC</td>
<td>A sub-component of the <em>Tschau Sepp Game Component</em> that is responsible for maintaining the game’s logic.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GUI</td>
<td>A sub-component of the <em>Tschau Sepp Game Component</em> that is responsible for displaying all the relevant information to the player and receiving his/her actions. For this, graphical icons, text boxes and buttons are used. Furthermore, this sub-component may contain plugins, such as a chat system.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NET</td>
<td>A sub-component of the <em>Tschau Sepp Game Component</em> that is responsible for sending and receiving messages between the NET sub-components that are situated on the other player’s computers.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Section: product perspective

Describe relation with other products if any.

Examples:

- System interfaces
- User interfaces
- Hardware interfaces
- Software interfaces
- Communications interfaces
- Memory
- Operations
- Site adaptation requirements
2.2 Product perspective

PMS is a standalone system that provides functionality described in the Product functions section. It includes all subsystems needed to fulfil these software requirements. In addition, the PMS has interfaces to the external systems, such as Version Control System, Change Management and Bug Tracking System and Payroll System. These interfaces shall be implemented according to available industry standards and shall be independent from a specific external system. Any detailed definition of an external system is out of scope of this document. The figure 1 shows the decomposition of PMS on the functionality areas and the supported external systems.

We have to distinguish a Data Storage System (DSS) from all other external systems in that way, that Data Storage System enables normal functioning of PMS and is therefore essential. PMS stores all its data in the DSS and hence has to maintain the connection to it. PMS shall access the data storage system through standard interface (JDBC, ODBS, ADO etc). See Data storage system section for more information.
2.1 Product perspective

The LOGIC sub-component cannot work on its own but requires both the GUI and NET sub-components. However, the LOGIC sub-component represents the central part of the all the three sub-components that make up the entire Tschau Sepp Game Component.

The LOGIC sub-component does not directly have an interface that connects two running LOGIC instances. Instead each LOGIC sub-component is connected to a NET sub-component that is responsible to exchange messages between computers. The LOGIC sub-component, on its own, has two interfaces: one to the GUI sub-component and another one to the NET sub-component.

Any detailed definition of the other sub-components is out of scope of this document.

Figure 1 presents an overall view of the application architecture. With this we want to present the eight different interfaces provided for the four different components that form the Tschau Sepp Game Component. This are named starting with the letter I (standing for interface).
Section: constraints

Describe any properties that will limit the developers’ options.

Examples:
- Regulatory policies
- Hardware limitations (e.g., signal timing requirements)
- Interfaces to other applications
- Parallel operation
- Audit functions
- Control functions
- Higher-order language requirements
- Reliability requirements
- Criticality of the application
- Safety and security considerations
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Specific requirements (section 3)

This section brings requirements to a level of detail making them usable by designers and testers. Examples:

- Details on external interfaces
- Precise specification of each function
- Responses to abnormal situations
- Detailed performance requirements
- Database requirements
- Design constraints
- Specific attributes such as reliability, availability, security, portability
3. Specific requirements

3.1 External interfaces
   3.1.1 User interfaces
   3.1.2 Hardware interfaces
   3.1.3 Software interfaces
   3.1.4 Communication interfaces

3.2 Functional requirements
   ...

3.3 Performance requirements
   ...

3.4 Design constraints
   ...

3.5 Quality requirements
   ...

3.6 Other requirements
   ...
### Example of functional requirements (1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Requirement ID</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R1.01.01</td>
<td>Main Functionality\Users</td>
<td>The system shall support the concept of <strong>user</strong>. Every user of the system has a name and a password. The name must be unique within the installed instance of the system. In addition, every user has a set of properties: <strong>Full Name</strong>, <strong>Full Business Title</strong> (Company Name, Position), <strong>E-Mail Address</strong>, <strong>Phone</strong>, <strong>Working Address</strong>, <strong>Alternative Phone</strong>, and <strong>Alternative Working Address</strong>. Each user is uniquely identified by its name within the system.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Priority</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Risk</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Requirement ID</th>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R1.01.04</td>
<td>Main Functionality\User Roles\Predefined Roles</td>
<td>The default installation of the system shall provide at least the following preconfigured user roles: “Manager”, “Team Leader”, “Team Member”, “Administrator”. The Table 3 lists the default rights of each role. The system administrator (user with the right to edit user roles) can configure permissions of the roles.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Priority</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Risk</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>M</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Example of functional requirements (2)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Req. ID</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R 3.1.2.004</td>
<td>Validate players actions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>If in Master mode, the system shall validate any player action that</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>has been received, in order to enforce the rules of the game.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Priority</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk</td>
<td>R 3.1.5.001 - R 3.1.5.012</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>References</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Req. ID</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R 3.1.2.005</td>
<td>Update game state</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>If in Master mode, the system shall change the game state if a receive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>player action has been successfully validated, as to reflect the action</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Priority</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>entails.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk</td>
<td>R 3.1.1.002, R 3.1.2.004</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>References</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Req. ID</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R 3.1.2.006</td>
<td>Distribute game state</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>If in Master mode, when the game state has been changed, the system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>shall inform all connected systems, which are in Slave mode, about the new</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>game state, and thereby confirm that the action was valid.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Priority</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk</td>
<td>R 3.1.1.004, R 3.1.3.005</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>References</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Priority:**
1: first version
2: final version
>3: optional

**Risk:**
C: critical
H: high impact
M: medium imp.
L: low impact
Example of non-functional requirements (1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Requirement ID</th>
<th>R5.01.03</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Group</td>
<td>Performance\Start-up time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Under the condition that the host system fulfils the hardware requirement R13.01.01, the time between initiation of the system startup and availability of full system functionality must be not longer 10 minutes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Priority</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source References</td>
<td>R13.01.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Requirement ID</th>
<th>R6.02.01</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Group</td>
<td>Deployment\Upgrade</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description</td>
<td>The upgrade of the system must be a particular case of the installation and fulfill the same requirements. The upgrade shall preserve all user data: projects, tasks, resources, project portfolios.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Priority</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source References</td>
<td>R6.01.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Requirement ID</th>
<th>R13.01.02</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Group</td>
<td>Hardware\Client system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description</td>
<td>The client part of the PMS shall be able to run and fulfill the performance requirements on: Single Pentium 1.8 GHz, 1 GB RAM, 1 GB disk space. LAN bandwidth: 1 Gbps; WAN bandwidth: 2 Mbps; minimum screen resolution 1024x768</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Priority</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source References</td>
<td>3.6 Performance</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Example of non-functional requirements (2)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Req. ID Title</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R 3.2.003</td>
<td>The system will maintain information integrity; the Slaves may use an older version of the Game State, but as soon as they receive an update, they shall act upon it, so that the state is updated at most 2 minutes after the Master's Game State was updated.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Priority Risk References</td>
<td>1 H NONE</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Req. ID Title</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R 3.2.004</td>
<td>The system shall not recover from error states produced by external factors.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Priority Risk References</td>
<td>1 L NONE</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Req. ID Title</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R 3.2.005</td>
<td>The system shall process a notification from the NET or GUI subcomponents in at most 1000 milliseconds.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Priority Risk References</td>
<td>2 L NONE</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Requirements under agile methods

Under XP: requirements are taken into account as defined at the particular time considered
Requirements are largely embedded in test cases

Benefits:
- Test plan will be directly available
- Customer involvement

Risks:
- Change may be difficult (refactoring)
- Structure may not be right
- Test only cover the foreseen cases
Practical advice

Retain the best agile practices, in particular frequent iterations, customer involvement, centrality of code and testing.

Disregard those that contradict proven software engineering principles.
Some recipes for good requirements

Managerial aspects:
- Involve all stakeholders
- Establish procedures for controlled change
- Establish mechanisms for traceability
- Treat requirements document as one of the major assets of the project; focus on clarity, precision, completeness

Technical aspects: how to be precise?
- Formal methods?
- Design by Contract
Checklist

Premature design?
Combined requirements?
Unnecessary requirements?
Conformance with business goals
Ambiguity
Realism
Testability

After: Kotonya & Sommerville 98
Using natural language for requirements

Keys are:
- Structure
- Precision (including precise definition of all terms)
- Consistency
- Minimizing forward and outward references
- Clarity
- Conciseness
Advice on natural language

Apply the general rules of “good writing” (e.g. Strunk & White)

Use active form

(Counter-example: “the message will be transmitted...”)
This forces you to state who does what

Use prescriptive language (“shall...”)

Separate domain properties and machine requirements

Take advantage of text processing capabilities, within reason

Identify every element of the requirement, down to paragraph or sentence

For delicate or complex issues, use complementary formalisms:

- Illustrations (with precise semantics)
- Formal descriptions, with explanations in English

Even for natural language specs, a mathematical detour may be useful
Advice on natural language

- When using numbers, identify the units
- When introducing a list, describe all the elements
- Use illustrations to clarify
- Define all project terms in a glossary
- Consider placing individual requirements in a separate paragraph, individually numbered
- Define generic verbs ("transmitted", "sent", "downloaded", "processed"…) precisely
Requirements elicitation
Case study questions

- Define stakeholders
- Discuss quality of statements -- too specific, not specific enough, properly scoped
- Discuss completeness of information: what is missing?
- Any contradictions that need to be resolved between stakeholders?
- Identify domain and machine requirements
- Identify functional and non-functional requirements
- Plan for future elicitation tasks
The need for an iterative approach

The requirements definition activity cannot be defined by a simple progression through, or relationship between, acquisition, expression, analysis, and specification. Requirements evolve at an uneven pace and tend to generate further requirements from the definition processes.

The construction of the requirements specification is inevitably an iterative process which is not, in general, self-terminating. Thus, at each iteration it is necessary to consider whether the current version of the requirements specification adequately defines the purchaser’s requirement, and, if not, how it must be changed or expanded further.

Source: Southwell 87
Before elicitation

At a minimum:

- Overall project description
- Draft glossary
Requirements elicitation: overall scheme

- Identify stakeholders
- Gather wish list of each category
- Document and refine wish lists
- Integrate, reconcile and verify wish lists
- Define priorities
- Add any missing elements and nonfunctional requirements
The four forces at work

After: Kotonya & Sommerville 98

- Problem to be solved
- Business context
- Domain constraints
- Stakeholder constraints

Requirements
"The primary interest of customers is not in a computer system, but rather in some overall positive effects resulting from the introduction of a computer system in their environment"
Requirements elicitation: who?

Users/customers

Software developers

Other stakeholders

Requirements engineers (analysts)
Requirements elicitation: what?

Example questions:

- What will the system do?
- What must happen if...?
- What resources are available for...?
- What kind of documentation is required?
- What is the maximum response time for...?
- What kind of training will be needed?
- What precision is requested for...?
- What are the security/privacy implications of ...?
- Is ... an error?
- What should the consequence be for a ... error?
- What is a criterion for success of a ... operation?
Requirements elicitation: how?

- Contract
- Study of existing non-computer processes
- Study of existing computer systems
- Study of comparable systems elsewhere
- Stakeholder interviews
- Stakeholder workshops
Building stakeholders’ trust

Future users may be jaded by previous attempts where the deliveries did not match the promises

Need to build trust progressively:

- Provide feedback, don’t just listen
- Justify restrictions
- Reinforce trust through evidence, e.g. earlier systems, partial prototypes
- Emphasize the feasible over the ideal
Study of existing systems

Non-computerized processes

- Not necessarily to be replicated by software system
- Understand why things are done the way they are

Existing IT systems

- Commercial products (buy vs build)
- Previous systems
- Systems developed by other companies, including competitors
Stakeholder interviews

Good questions:
- Are egoless
- Seek useful answers
- Make no assumptions

“Context-free” questions:
- “Where do you expect this to be used?”
- “What is it worth to you to solve this problem?”
- “When do you do this?”
- “Whom should I talk to?” “Who doesn’t need to be involved?”
- “How does this work?” “How might it be different?”

Also: meta-questions: “Are my questions relevant?”
Probe further

What else?
Can you show me?
Can you give me an example?
How did that happen?
What happens next?
What’s behind that?
Are there any other reasons?

“How” rather than “why”:
What was the thinking behind that decision?
Uncovering the implicit

One analyst didn’t include in his requirements document the database that fed his system. I asked him why. He said, “Everyone knows it’s there. It’s obvious.” Words to be wary of! It turned out that the database was scheduled for redesign.

[Winant]

Implicit assumptions are one of the biggest obstacles to a successful requirements process.
Requirements workshops

Often less costly than multiple interviews

Help structure requirements capture and analysis process
Dynamic, interactive, cooperative

Involve users, cut across organizational boundaries

Help identify and prioritize needs, resolve contentious issues; help promote cooperation between stakeholders

Help manage users’ expectations and attitude toward change
Knowing when to stop elicitation

- Keep the focus on scope
- Keep a list of open issues
- Define criteria for completeness
After elicitation

Examine resulting requirements from the viewpoint of requirements quality factors, especially consistency and completeness

Make decisions on contentious issues
Finalize scope of project
Go back to stakeholders and negotiate
15 quality goals for requirements

- Justified
- Correct
- Complete
- Consistent
- Unambiguous
- Feasible
- Abstract
- Traceable
- Delimited
- Interfaced
- Readable
- Modifiable
- Testable
- Prioritized
- Endorsed
Practical advice

Treat requirement elicitation as a mini-project of its own
Use cases and Object-oriented analysis
Use Cases and scenarios

One of the UML diagram types

A use case describes how to achieve a single business goal or task through the interactions between external actors and the system → can be used to capture functional requirements

Actors: interacting parties outside of the system, e.g. class of users, role of users, other system

Scenario: instance of a use case representing a single path through the use case
Use cases

A good use case must:

- Have one single business task as goal
- Describe a sequence of interactions delivering the service
- Describe alternatives, failures, exceptional behavior
- Treat the system as a black box
- Not be implementation-specific
- Provide appropriate level of detail
- Be short enough to implement by one developer in one release

It captures who (actor) does what (interaction) why (goal)
Example of a use case – Define actors

Example taken from http://www.gatherspace.com/static/use_case_example.html
Example of a use case – Define actor goals

Diagram:

- Buyer
  - Creates Account
  - Searches listings for item
  - Places Bid
  - Purchases Item

- Seller
  - Creates Account
  - Searches listings for item
  - Creates an Auction
  - Ships Item
Example of a use case – Identify reuse
Use case example

**Place an order:**
- Browse catalog & select items
- Call sales representative
- Supply shipping information
- Supply payment information
- Receive confirmation number from salesperson

May have precondition, postcondition, invariant
Consider a small library database with the following transactions:

3. Get the list of books by a particular author or in a particular subject area.
4. Find out the list of books currently checked out by a particular borrower.
5. Find out what borrower last checked out a particular copy of a book.

There are two types of users: staff users and ordinary borrowers.

Transactions 1, 2, 4, and 5 are restricted to staff users, except that ordinary borrowers can perform transaction 4 to find out the list of books currently borrowed by themselves. The database must also satisfy the following constraints:

- All copies in the library must be available for checkout or be checked out.
- No copy of the book may be both available and checked out at the same time.
- A borrower may not have more than a predefined number of books checked out at one time.
Use cases are a tool for requirement elicitation but insufficient to define requirements:

- Not abstract enough
- Too specific
- Describe current processes
- Do not support evolution

Use cases are to requirements what tests are to software specification and design

Major application: for testing
Object-oriented analysis

- **Classes** around object types (not just physical objects but also important concepts of the application domain)
- **Abstract Data Types** approach
- **Deferred** classes and features
- Inter-component relations: “client” and inheritance
- Distinction between **reference** and **expanded** clients
- **Inheritance** — single, multiple and repeated for classification.
- **Contracts** to capture the *semantics of systems*: properties other than structural.
- **Libraries** of reusable classes
What is O-O analysis?

Same benefits as O-O programming, in particular extendibility and reusability.

Direct modeling of the problem domain.

Seamlessness and reversibility with the continuation of the project (design, implementation, maintenance).

To be continued: we need abstract data types before continuing the discussion of O-O analysis. See lecture 3.
Conclusion
Key lessons

Requirements are software

- Subject to software engineering tools
- Subject to standards
- Subject to measurement
- Part of quality enforcement

Requirements is both a lifecycle phase and a lifecycle-long activity

Since requirements will change, seamless approach is desirable

Distinguish domain properties from machine properties

- Domain requirements should never refer to machine requirements!
Key lessons

Identify & involve all stakeholders

Requirements determine not just development but tests

Use cases are good for test planning

Requirements should be abstract

Requirements should be traceable

Requirements should be verifiable (otherwise they are wishful thinking)

Object technology helps

- Modularization
- Classifications
- Contracts
- Seamless transition to rest of lifecycle
Key lessons

Formal methods have an important contribution to make:

- Culture to be mastered by requirements engineers
- Necessary for critical parts of application
- Lead to ask the right questions
- Proofs & model checking uncover errors
- Lead to better informal requirements
- Study abstract data types
- Nothing to be scared of
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