

Advanced Material



The following slides contain advanced material and are optional.



Outline

- CAT calls
- Generic conformance

➤ For more information, see

http://dev.eiffel.com/Comparison_of_catcall_solutions

(Some of the information may be outdated...)



CAT: Changed availability or type

```
class PARENT  
feature  
  f do end  
  g (a: ANY) do end  
  h: ANY  
end
```

```
class CHILD  
inherit  
  PARENT  
  redefine g  
  export {NONE} f end
```

```
feature  
  g (a: STRING) do a.to_upper end  
  h: STRING  
end
```

Restricting export status

Covariant redefinition of argument and result type



Problems with CAT

```
class APPLICATION
```

```
feature
```

```
  make
```

```
    local
```

```
      p: PARENT
```

```
      c: CHILD
```

```
    do
```

```
      create {CHILD} p
```

```
      p.f
```

Exported to NONE

```
      p.g(1)
```

Wrong argument type will lead to
runtime exception

```
    end
```

```
end
```



- Changed availability (restricting export status)
 - Not allowed anymore in ECMA Eiffel
- Covariant result type
 - This is not a problem
- Covariant argument type
 - Currently checked at run-time
 - Different solutions proposed, not yet decided on a particular strategy



Generic conformance

```
class APPLICATION
feature
  make
    local
      any_list: LIST[ANY]
      string_list: LIST[STRING]
      integer_list: LIST[INTEGER]
    do
      string_list := any_list          ×
      string_list := integer_list      ×
      integer_list := any_list         ×
      integer_list := string_list      ×
      any_list := string_list         ✓
      any_list := integer_list        ✓
    end
  end
```

Generic conformance vs. changed type

```
class LIST [G]
feature
    put (a: G) do end
    first: G
end
```

```
interface class LIST [ANY]
```

```
feature
    put (a: ANY)
    first: ANY
end
```

```
interface class LIST [STRING]
```

```
feature
    put (a: STRING)
    first: STRING
end
```

LIST [STRING] conforms to
LIST [ANY], thus the
changed type in the
argument and result are like
a covariant redefinition.



Problems with generic conformance

```
class APPLICATION
feature
    make
    local
        any_list: LIST[ANY]
        string_list: LIST[STRING]
    do
        create string_list.make
        any_list := string_list
        any_list.put(1)
        string_list.first.to_upper
    end
end
```

Wrong element type



Solutions to generic conformance

➤ Novariant conformance

- No conformance between generics of different type
- Used by C#

➤ Usage-site variance

- Specify conformance for each generic derivation
- Used by Java

➤ Definition-site variance

- Specify conformance for each generic class
- Implemented by CLR