Linguistic Support for Large Scale Distributed Programming

Patrick Th. Eugster Rachid Guerraoui Swiss Federal Institute of Technology Lausanne



Linguistic Support for Large Scale Distributed Programming © P. Th. Eugster



Lessons Learned

Publish/subscribe paradigm

- Decoupling of information producers/consumers in
 - *∝* Time
 - *∝* Space
 - ∠ Flow

Enforces scalability by removing direct dependencies

Static vs. dynamic schemes

- Both advantages and shortcomings
- Combination most effective

Not a solution to everything

But more effective in many cases than RMI





Can be implemented in OO such that

Type safety is ensured
 Encapsulation is preserved
 Efficient

Seneric Distributed Asynchronous Collections

 Type-based publish/subscribe enforces type safety
 Reflection-based » publish/subscribe enforces encapsulation, optimizations without subscription language
 Combination enforces ease of use, efficiency





Language Integration

Paradigms/abstractions

- 1. Libraries
- 2. Libraries become part of language environment
- 3. Integrated into language semantics

E.g., monitor

- 1. As external concurrency control library
- 2. Added as control structure to Portal
- 3. Every object is monitor in Java





Requirements to ensure

Type safety avoiding type checks and casts
Accessibility of subscription patterns and encapsulation of message objects in content-based subscription without subscription language

∠Expressing different QoS





Linguistic Support for Large Scale Distributed Programming © P. Th. Eugster



P/SLang

∠ Publishing?

Language primitive, e.g., new

publish p;

 \measuredangle Creates instance (copy) of $_{P}$ in every subscribed process

Subscribing?

&Language primitive, e.g., instanceof

s subscribe T;

Subscribes s to type ⊤





✓ Callback?

Every object s can override a method, e.g, equals()
notify(Object o)

Strong typing?

Dispatching types?

«Every incoming object is an Object

Requires dynamic dispatching



Linguistic Support for Large Scale Distributed Programming $\ensuremath{^{\odot}}$ P. Th. Eugster



Expressing content-based subscription?

Such that

- Encapsulation is preserved
- Fattern is transparent
- No subscription language is required

✓ Use language to express query

∠Defer code evaluation

- *Multistage programming* (two levels are sufficient)
 - s subscribe T [T t | return t.equals(t1)];
- Anonymous classes (methods)?





Anonymous methods (functions)

Similar to Smalltalk blocks
Deferred evaluation
No name
Not associated with a type
Parameters
Exceptions
Poss. return value





Sof type java.lang.reflect.Method, e.g.

Method m =

void (String s, int i) throws Exception $\{...\}$;

∝ General

s subscribe T boolean (T t) {...return ...; };

Solution

s subscribe (T t) {return ...; };

Similar constraints as anonymous classes

«Only final or block-local variables are used: shipping of code possible
»Otherwise no shipping of code (local filtering)





∞ QoS?

Messages use multiple subtyping to inherit behavior of predefined message classes, e.g,

Unreliable/reliable/certified...

✓ Priorities

Persistence

£ ...

Removes ambiguities possible with DACs

If different objects connect to the same type, but with different QoS, e.g., «unreliable» publisher - «reliable» subscriber?





RMI-Based Programming

Zero Two types of objects

- 1. Remotely accessible objects
 - Have remote interface, can be remotely invoked
 - Passed by reference
- 2. Local objects
 - No remote interface
 - Can be used as invocation arguments/return values
 - Passed by value

✓ One interaction style

Solution Structure Control Control





Message-Oriented Distr. Progr.

∠ One type of objects

∠Pass by value
∠No remote interfaces

Two interaction styles

Locally through method invocations
Remotely/locally by exchanging (message) objects
Objects are published

Subscribing to types of objects (with predicates)



