

The following is the feature *duplicate* and some other features from class `TWO_WAY_SORTED_SET`, which is a set containing an internal iterator. Try to devise a set of test cases such that:

- (1) All branches in *duplicate* are covered.
- (2) All clauses in *duplicate* are covered.
- (3) Try to devise a test case to reveal a bug in *duplicate*. Hint: analysis the preconditions of the given features. Is this test case included in the test suite you devised in (1) or (2)? What do you think about the used coverage criteria?

```
duplicate (n: INTEGER): like Current
  -- Copy of sub-set beginning at cursor position
  -- and having min (`n', `count' - `index' + 1) items
  local
    pos: CURSOR
    counter: INTEGER
  do
    pos := cursor; Result := new_chain; Result.finish; Result.forth
    from until (counter = n) or else after loop
      Result.put_left (item)
      forth
      counter := counter + 1
    end
    go_to (pos)
  end
```

```
item: G
  -- Current item
  require
    not_off: not off
```

```
forth
  -- Move cursor to next position, if any.
  require
    not_after: not after
  ensure
    moved_forth: index = old index + 1
```

```
off: BOOLEAN
  -- Is there no current item?
  ensure
    Result = after or before
```

Solution

(1) There is only one branching statement, which is the loop.

```
s: TWO_WAY_SORTED_SET [INTEGER]
create s. make
s.extend (1)
s.start
s.duplicate (10)
```

(2) There are two clauses, namely, counter = n, after. We need to come up with test cases triggering both True and False for all the clauses.

TC1: counter=n: True/False

```
s: TWO_WAY_SORTED_SET [INTEGER]
create s. make
s.extend (1)
s.extend (2)
s.start
s.duplicate (1)
```

TC2: after: True/False

```
s: TWO_WAY_SORTED_SET [INTEGER]
create s. make
s.extend (1)
s.start
s.duplicate (10)
```

(3)

```
s: TWO_WAY_SORTED_SET [INTEGER]
create s. make
```

s.duplicate (1) – calling duplicate when `s` is before will violates the precondition of item in the first iteration of the loop body.

Both the branch coverage and clause coverage may miss this case, thus, they are weak.