#### Modeling Behavioral Design Patterns of Concurrent Objects

Hassan Gomaa

Dept. of Computer Science George Mason University Fairfax, Virginia, USA

hgomaa@gmu.edu

Joint research conducted with Dr. Robert Pettit





# **Overview**

Goals

- Provide executable behavioral analysis capabilities
  - For concurrent object-oriented software architectures
  - At design stage
- Concurrent software architectures are depicted in UML
- Colored Petri nets (CPNs) used as underlying formalism
- CPN templates created to model executable behavioral design patterns
  - Promotes systematic, repeatable model construction

# Modeling Executable Software Architectures

- Design and analyze concurrent software architecture
- Behavioral design patterns
  - Concurrent component
  - Connector
  - Mapped to Colored Petri Net template
- Map concurrent software architecture to CPN model
  - Select and interconnect CPN templates for components and connectors
- Analyze executable CPN model
  - Application behavior
  - Application performance
- R. Pettit and H. Gomaa, "Modeling Behavioral Design Patterns of Concurrent Objects", Proc. Int. Conf. on Software Eng. (ICSE), Shanghai, May 2006.



- Start with software design captured in UML
  - Depicted on UML 2 communication diagrams
- Structure concurrent system into concurrent objects
  - Categorize concurrent objects by behavioral role
  - Each concurrent object is represented by behavioral design pattern
  - Mapped to CPN template



#### Software Modeling and Design for Concurrent Systems

- COMET design method
  - From Use Case Models to Software Architecture
  - COMET = method + UML
  - Requirements and Analysis Modeling
    - Use case modeling
    - Static and Dynamic modeling
  - Design modeling
    - Concurrent, distributed, and real-time applications
  - H. Gomaa, "Designing Concurrent, Distributed, and Real-Time Applications with UML", Addison Wesley Object Technology Series, 2000
  - H. Gomaa, Software Modeling and Design: UML, Use Cases, Patterns, and Software Architectures, Cambridge University Press, February 2011







Concurrent and Passive Objects

- Concurrent system consists of concurrent objects and passive objects
- Concurrent object
  - Has a **thread** of control
  - Executes autonomously
  - Also known as
    - Active object
    - Concurrent process (lightweight)
    - Concurrent task
    - Concurrent component
    - Thread (Java)
    - Processor (Scoop)
- Passive object
  - Has no thread of control
  - Also known as
    - Sequential object
    - Object



«Object»
----------



#### Structure and Categorize Concurrent Objects

- Use COMET structuring criteria to categorize concurrent objects
  - Each concurrent object depicted using UML stereotype
  - Specify architectural parameters for each concurrent object
  - Identify concurrent object behavioral design pattern





#### **UML Notation for Messages**

a) Asynchronous message communication

b) Synchronous message communication

message-name (argument list)

message-name (argument list)

c) Synchronous message communication with reply

message-name (argument list)

≪reply»



#### Asynchronous I/O Concurrent Object (Component/task/thread)

One concurrent object for each asynchronous I/O device Activated by device interrupt Reads input Converts to internal format Sends message containing data Waits for next interrupt

Figure 14.1b Design model – UML concurrent communication diagram





### **Classical Petri Nets**

- Simple concurrency model
  - Just three elements: places, transitions and arcs.
  - Graphical and mathematical description.
  - Formal semantics and allows for analysis.
- History:
  - Carl Adam Petri (1962, PhD thesis)
  - In sixties and seventies focus mainly on theory.
  - Since eighties also focus on tools and applications (cf. Colored Petri Net work by Kurt Jensen).
- Source: Intro to Petri Nets, Wil van der Aalst





#### **Petri Net Rules**



- Connections are directed.
- No connections between two places or two transitions.
- Places may hold zero or more tokens.

Source: Intro to Petri Nets, Wil van der Aalst



# **Enabled Transition**

• A transition is **enabled** if each of its input places contains at least one token





# **Firing of Transition**

- An enabled transition can fire (i.e., it occurs).
- When it **fires** it **consumes** a token from each input place and **produces** a token for each output place.





# **Colored Petri Nets (CPN)**

- Developed by Kurt Jensen
- Petri nets extended with:
  - Color
    - Tokens given data value
  - Time
    - Enabled transition fires after specified time
  - Hierarchy
    - Transition can be decomposed to lower level CPN subnet
- Tool support
  - Design CPN





- Uses component / connector paradigm
- Component
  - Concurrent object with single thread of control
  - Passive entity object
    - Encapsulates data
- Connector
  - Provides message communication between concurrent objects
- Model components and connectors using Colored Petri Net templates

#### Mapping Concurrent Software Architecture to Colored Petri Nets

- CPN behavioral design template designed for each
  - Component
  - Connector
- Colored Petri Net notation
  - Transition executes function when fired
    - Consumes colored tokens from input places
    - Produces colored tokens on output places
    - Transitions can have timing parameters





# **Asynchronous I/O Component**

One concurrent component for each asynchronous I/O device Activated by device I/O interrupt Reads input Converts to internal format Sends message containing data Waits for next interrupt

Figure 14.1b Design model – concurrent communication diagram



Hardware / software boundary



# **Asynchronous I/O Pattern**

- I/O component
  - Handles external input/output on demand
- CPN pattern
  - Thread of control maintained by control token
  - Each component has its own control token
- CPN Transition executes function
  - Processing time associated with transition
- Colored tokens to differentiate role of tokens
  - Control token
  - Input event
  - Output message



#### **Asynchronous I/O Pattern**





# **Periodic Algorithm Component**

Component for each periodic algorithm Component activated periodically Activated by timer event Executes algorithm Waits for next timer event

Figure 14.5b Design model – concurrent communication diagram





# **Periodic Algorithm Pattern**

- Algorithm component
  - Encapsulate application logic
    - Modeled by transition
  - Execute asynchronously or periodically
- Periodic behavior modeled by
  - Sleep Wakeup Ready Timeout cycle



### **Periodic Algorithm Pattern**





# **Entity Object**

- Entity object is a passive object
  - Encapsulates data
  - Hides contents of data structure
  - Data accessed indirectly via operations
- Passive object accessed by two or more components
  - Operations must synchronize access to data
    - E.g., by mutual exclusion
  - Use semaphore or monitor object





# **Entity Object Pattern**

- Entity objects are passive
  - Encapsulate data
  - No thread of control
    - > No control token
  - Interfaces are through places rather than transitions
    - Facilitates connection to concurrent objects
  - Interfaces represent access operations
    - Operation behavior modeled with transition
    - Execution uses caller's control token



#### **Entity Object Pattern**





#### Connectors

- Connector
  - Provides message communication between concurrent components
    - Queue Asynchronous communication
    - Buffer Synchronous communication
- Interface to connector uses CPN places
  - Facilitates interconnection between concurrent component templates and connector templates



#### Synchronous Message Communication With Reply

- Producer sends message and waits for reply
- Consumer receives message
  - Suspended if no message is present
  - Activated when message arrives
  - Generates and sends reply
- Producer and Consumer continue



«synchronous message with reply»



- Synchronous buffer models synchronous communication
- Producer sends message and waits for reply
- One message at a time allowed in the buffer
- Producer and consumer are blocked until message has been passed







- Producer sends message and continues
- Consumer receives message
  - Suspended if no message is present
  - Activated when message arrives
- Message queue may build up at Consumer



send (message) receive (message)

#### Asynchronous Message Communication (Queue) Pattern

- Asynchronous communication
  - Modeled using FIFO message queue
- Producer is not blocked during the communication
- Consumer is only blocked if no messages in queue

#### Asynchronous Message Communication (Queue) Pattern ERSITY





#### Constructing CPN Model from Concurrent Design Model

- 1. Develop COMET design model
  - COMET structuring criteria
- 2. Construct Architecture-Level CPN Model
  - Represent each component & connector by CPN template
  - Templates developed using DesignCPN
  - Interconnect CPN templates
- 3. Model characteristics of individual component
  - Customize CPN templates for application
- 4. Exercise model in DesignCPN simulator
  - Analyze functional behavior
    - Detect and correct design problems
  - Analyze performance characteristics
    - Does software architecture meets timing constraints?



#### **Example – Cruise Control Architecture**





## 1. Applying CPN Templates – Context Model



**MASON** 2. Construct CPN Architecture Model

- Interconnect CPN templates
  - Decompose context-level CPN model into architecture-level model
  - Each component and connector mapped to CPN template
- CPN Interfaces for components and connectors
  - Concurrent object CPN templates use transitions
  - Passive & connector object templates use places
  - Concurrent object templates are connected to passive / connector object templates
    - Maintains CPN place-transition connection rules

#### **Connecting CPN Templates to form CPN** GEORGE **Architecture** ERSITY async message I/O component <<external input device>> cruiseControlRequest CruiseControl CruiseControl CruiseControl LeverDevice LeverInterface cruiseControlLeverInput {Execution = async; IO = input Process Time = 100ms Cruise Control <<State Dependent>> Cruise Controll everInterface <<Interface>> {execution = async; IO=In 1°cruiseControlRequestData (internal\_event\_3=cm 1°cruiseControlLeverInputData Processinput 1 processInput1 (cruiseControlLeverInputData) **CruiseContre** Cruise Control Lever Device 1"(internal\_event\_3,CTRL3) ExecuteSTD 3 Processinou EventRx\_3 CruiseControlLeverInput HS **CTRL1** 1'CTRL3 1"(internal\_event\_3, CTRL3) 1°CTBL3 MessageSent 1 1'CTRÉ 1°CTRL3 1'currState (STDComplete\_3 Contr 1°CTRI 1 State (CurrentState\_3) Control Contro. 1'newState 1'CTRL3 1'(idle,false,false) PostProcessing 1 PostProcessing 3 Ready 3 Ready 1 @+200 1°CTBL3 1°CTRL1 @+100 1°CTRL3 1'CTRL1 cruiseControlRequestQueue <<Queue>> {buffer size = 3} CPN I/O component template CruiseControl Request Data CruiseControlRequestData 1'data **1**'data Enqueue Manage Queue Dequeue HS Control 1'control (Dequeue Complete) 38 CPN queue connector template



# 3. Modeling Individual Components

- Each CPN template must be customized to capture specific object behavior
  - Architectural parameters
    - Processing time / sleep time set CPN timing parameters
    - Buffer size set queue limits
  - Passive classes
    - Capture attributes and operations to be included in entity objects
    - Exclusion / Access type capture desired mutual exclusion behavior on entities
  - Message / data specifications
    - Use to define CPN colorsets and token variables



#### 3 Applying CPN Templates – Customizing Individual Object



![](_page_40_Picture_0.jpeg)

- CPN model used to execute architectural design
- Validation
  - Two detailed case studies
  - Exercised using Design CPN simulator
- Functional analysis
  - Execute test scenarios to determine if architecture outputs expected / desired results
  - Can examine architecture at varying levels of detail
- Performance analysis
  - Throughput analysis
  - Timing analysis
  - Queuing backlogs

#### Analyzing Software Architecture with CPN Model CruiseControlLeverInput VERSITY ก 11"#Accelli" Cruise Control Lever Device 1°cruiseControlLeverInputData 1<sup>c</sup>brakeInputData BrakeInput ThrottleOutput 1'brakeInputData BrakeDevice 1"BrakeOff (1)[11""BrakeOff ThrottleDevice Cruise Control System НS 1'throttleOutputData 1'engineInputData EngineInput 1<sup>•</sup>engineInputData EngineDevice 1""EngineOn" 1""Engine "On" (a) CruiseControlLeverInput (Cruise Control Lever Device) 1°cruiseControlLeverInputData 1<sup>e</sup>brakeInputData BrakeInput ThrottleOutput BrakeDevice 1'brakeInputData 1"BrakeOff" (1)"BrakeOff ThrottleDevice Cruise Control System нs 1"throttleOutputData (1) <sup>1'5</sup> 50 1'engineInputData EngineInput 1<sup>•</sup>engineInputData (b) EngineDevice 1""EngineOn" on'On"

![](_page_42_Picture_0.jpeg)

### **Example of Timing Analysis**

![](_page_42_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_43_Picture_0.jpeg)

# **Conclusions and Future Research**

- Dynamic behavior of concurrent system represented using
  - CPN templates
    - Allow systematic, repeatable modeling of object behavioral patterns
    - Maintain structure and integrity of software architecture
- CPN analysis
  - Analyze concurrent behavior at design stage
  - Allows correction of fundamental design problems
- Areas for future work
  - Extend to support distributed environments
  - Investigate scalability to larger models
  - Automate translation to CPN model