Problem Sheet 11: Testing Sample Solutions

Chris Poskitt*
ETH Zürich

1 Branch and Path Coverage

- i. (a) 4.
 - (b) 6.
- ii. (a) Yes, e.g. function(4,6) and function(6,4).
 - (b) Yes, e.g. x := 1, x := 0, and x := -1.
- iii. (a) 3.
 - (b) 10.
- iv. (a) $z := true \rightsquigarrow result := "b"$.
 - (b) $y := x + x \ [\rightarrow y := y + 2]^n \text{ for } 0 \le n \le 6.$
- v. (a) For full path coverage we add the test function(1,2).
 - (b) For full path coverage we add the tests: x := 2, x := 3, ... x := 8.

2 Logic Coverage

- i. (a) x < y and z & x + y == 10.
 - (b) x > 0, y < 15, and x = 0.
- ii. Yes: we can use the same tests as we used for branch coverage.
- iii. (a) x < y, z, and x + y == 10.
 - (b) x > 0, y < 15, and x = 0.
- iv. Yes in both cases.
 - (a) For full clause coverage we can use the tests function (4,6) and function (1,2).
 - (b) For full clause coverage we can use the tests x := 1, x := 0, and x := -1.
- v. Predicate coverage implies branch coverage (in fact, the definitions are equivalent). Clause coverage, however, does not imply branch coverage. Take for example the predicate:

$$x > 0 \lor y > 0.$$

With the tests $(x \mapsto 0, y \mapsto 1)$ and $(x \mapsto 1, y \mapsto 0)$ we achieve clause coverage. However, these tests do not achieve predicate coverage (since the compound formula in both cases evaluates to true) and hence do not achieve branch coverage.

^{*}Solution sheet adapted from an earlier version by Stephan van Staden.