

Assignment 5: Monitors

ETH Zurich

1 Queues

1.1 Background

There is a given generic queue class called *Queue*<*T*>, where *T* is the generic type of the queue elements. You only know that *Queue*<*T*> follows FIFO rules on a single-threaded execution, and offers the methods *enqueue*, *dequeue*, and *size*. No assumptions can be made about the thread safety of these operations.

1.2 Tasks

1. Implement a bounded concurrent queue using *Queue* in Java or a suitable pseudocode. The following operations must be implemented:
 - *void enqueue(T v)*, which enqueues the value *v* on the queue.
 - *T dequeue()*, which dequeues a value and returns it to the caller.
 - A constructor which takes the queue bound (> 0) as an argument.

You are to implement this using a signal-and-continue monitor and two condition variables, one condition variable for “not empty” and one for “not full”. These condition variables only provide two operations: *signal* and *wait*. Recall that *signal* only awakens a single thread.

2. Imagine in the previous situation that a single condition variable is used for both the “not empty” and “not full” conditions. With a single condition variable, can you guarantee that a waiting enqueue (dequeue) operation is only awakened when the queue is not full (empty)?
If yes, how? If not, what problem does this pose when only *signal* is available?

2 Signal and continue vs. signal and wait

2.1 Background

Listing 1 shows a monitor class that defines three parts of a job.

Listing 1: three part job class with signal and wait

```
monitor class THREE_PART_JOB
feature
  first_part_done : CONDITION_VARIABLE

  do_first_and_third_part
  do
```

```
first_part
first_part_done . signal  -- “Signal and Wait” signaling discipline
third_part
end

do_ second_part
do
  first_part_done . wait
  second_part
end
end
```

The condition variable *first_part_done* is used to ensure that the first and the third part are executed by one thread t_1 and that the second part is executed by another thread t_2 in between the first and the third part. This is the correctness specification.

2.2 Task

1. Assume that the condition variable implements the “Signal and Wait” discipline. Is the code correct? If the code is correct, justify why it works. If the code is not correct, show a sequence of actions that illustrates the problem.
2. Assume now that the condition variable implements the “Signal and Continue” discipline instead. Is the code correct? If the code is correct, justify why it works. If the code is not correct, show a sequence of actions that illustrates the problem.
3. If the program is not correct with the “Signal and Continue” discipline, rewrite the program so that it is correct. To do this, use the “Signal and Continue” condition variables.

3 Deadlocks

3.1 Background

We have a monitor class:

```
monitor class BAZ
  c: CONDITION_VARIABLE
  e: CONDITION_VARIABLE
  i: INTEGER
  x: INTEGER

  foo
  do
    if i < 5 then
      c . wait
    end
    x := i * 2
    e . signal
    x := 10 - x
  end

  bar
  do
```

```
i := 5  
c.signal  
i := i + 1  
e.wait  
x := 8 - x  
end  
end
```

3.2 Task

For the class given above, a thread will run *foo* and another will run *bar*. These executions occur concurrently. Assume that *i* is initialized to 0.

Consider the execution with both the signal-and-wait, and signal-and-continue signaling disciplines. For each signaling discipline, state and justify:

- whether the program will deadlock.
- if the program does not deadlock, what the value of *x* is at termination.