# Assignment 6: SCOOP

#### ETH Zurich

### 1 Breakfast Running Time

#### 1.1 Background

Reasoning about the execution times of a concurrent SCOOP program, in the context of breakfast.

#### 1.2 Task

Consider the following SCOOP program being executed on a processor z:

```
bread.cut
toaster.toast
pan.fry
meal.compose
Result := meal.is_cooked and bread.is_delicious
meal.eat
```

The object-processor associations are given as follows: *pan* is handled by processor p, *bread* and *toaster* by processor q, and *meal* by processor r. The call *bread.cut* takes 20 time units until it returns, *toaster.toast* 30 time units, *pan.fry* 20 time units, *meal.compose* 40 time units, *meal.eat* 20 time units. Assume the queries are instantaneous. What is the minimum time for execution of this program? Justify your answer.

## 2 Interpreting a SCOOP program

#### 2.1 Background

The code in listing 1 shows the participants of a crazy office. Note that the BOSS class is the root of this system.

Listing 1: crazy office classes

class BOSS

create

make

#### feature

```
evil_supervisor : separate EVIL_SUPERVISOR
nice_supervisor : separate NICE_SUPERVISOR
worker: separate WORKER
```

make

-- Create supervisors and a worker and use the supervisors to drive the worker.

```
do
     create evil_supervisor
     create nice_supervisor
     create worker
     print ("boss: I am about to ask the supervisors to do their job.")
     run (evil_supervisor, nice_supervisor)
     print ("boss: I am done.")
   end
  run ( a_evil_supervisor : separate EVIL_SUPERVISOR; a_nice_supervisor: separate
      NICE_SUPERVISOR)
     -- Use the supervisors to drive the worker.
   do
      a_evil_supervisor . convince (worker)
      a_nice_supervisor.convince (worker)
      a_evil_supervisor . convince (worker)
      a_nice_supervisor.convince (worker)
     if ( a_evil_supervisor . done and a_nice_supervisor. done) then
       print ("boss: The supervisors are done.")
     end
   end
end
class EVIL_SUPERVISOR
feature
  done: BOOLEAN
    -- Did I convince a worker?
  convince (a_worker: separate WORKER)
      -- Convince 'a_worker' that he is not done as soon as he thinks that he is done.
   require
     a\_worker.done
   do
     a\_worker.be\_not\_done
     done := true
     print ("evil supervisor: I am done.")
   end
end
class NICE_SUPERVISOR
feature
  done: BOOLEAN
   -- Did I convince a worker?
  convince (a_worker: separate WORKER)
     -- Convince 'a_worker' that he is done as soon as he thinks that he is not done.
   require
     not a_worker.done
   do
```

```
a_worker.be_done
     done := true
     print ("nice supervisor: I am done.")
   end
end
class WORKER
create
  make
feature
  make
       -- Create the worker and make him not done.
   do
     done := false
   ensure
     not_done: not done
   end
  done: BOOLEAN
    -- Do I think that I am done with my task?
  be_not_done
     -- Make me realize that I am not done.
   do
     print("worker: I am not done.")
     done := false
   end
  be\_done
     -- Make me realize that I am done.
   do
     print("worker: I am done.")
     done := true
   end
```

 $\mathbf{end}$ 

#### 2.2 Task

Write down one possible output of the program. Does this system terminate (i.e. all processors finish their tasks)?

## **3** SCOOP Type Combinators

#### 3.1 Background

Have a look at the code snippets shown in listing 2.

Listing 2: type system

class A class B inherits from A

```
a: A
b: separate B
c, d: separate A
r (x: separate T)
 do
   1. a := x.b1
   2. b := x.a1
   3. c := x.d1
   4. d := x.c1
  end
s (x: T)
  do
   5. a := x.b1
   6. b := x. d1
  end
p (x: separate T)
  do
    7. x.f(a)
    8. x.g(c)
  end
q (x: T)
  do
   9. x.f(a)
   10. x.g(d)
   11. x.g(a)
  \mathbf{end}
class T
  feature
   a1: separate A
   b1: separate B
   c1: A
    d1: B
  feature
   f(z: A)
     do
        z.f1
     \mathbf{end}
  feature
    g(z: separate A)
     do
        z.f1
     end
end
```

### 3.2 Task

Are the above numbered statements correct and why/why not?

# 4 Baboon Crossing

### 4.1 Background

This task is adapted from Downey [1] and Tanenbaum [2]. There is a deep canyon somewhere in Kruger National Park, South Africa, and a single rope that spans the canyon. Baboons can cross the canyon by swinging hand-over-hand on the rope, but if two baboons going in opposite directions meet in the middle, they will fight and drop to their deaths. Furthermore, the rope is only strong enough to hold n baboons. If there are more baboons on the rope at the same time, it will break.

### 4.2 Task

Design and implement a SCOOP synchronization scheme with the following properties:

- Once a baboon has begun to cross, it is guaranteed to get to the other side without running into a baboon going the other way.
- There are never more than n baboons on the rope.
- A continuing stream of baboons crossing in one direction should not bar baboons going the other way indefinitely (no starvation).

## References

- [1] Allen B. Downey. The Little Book of Semaphores Second Edition. Green Tea Press, 2005.
- [2] Andrew S. Tanenbaum. Modern Operating Systems (2nd Edition). Prentice Hall, 2001.