# Assignment 7: Lock-free approaches

#### ETH Zurich

# 1 Concurrent Logger

#### 1.1 Background

Your company is developing an application server, which, for security reasons, has to log every action. The log is stored in memory and crawled by various intrusion detection algorithms. Since the current logger uses a lock—which is obviously a performance bottleneck—you decided to rewrite it using lock-free techniques.

A log entry contains a date and a message. The date, which also contains the time, is represented as a long integer. The message is a string. Adding a log entry should not require traversing the whole log.

Java provides several classes that support atomic compare-and-set, in particular, AtomicLong to store long integers, and AtomicReference < V > to store references such as String. These classes have three important methods:

// Atomically sets the value to the given updated value if the current value == the
 expected value. Returns true if successful.
boolean compareAndSet (V expect, V update);

```
//Gets the current value.
V get();
```

```
//Sets to the given value.
void set(V newValue);
```

#### 1.2 Task

Your task is to implement this logger according to the interface below, without using locks:

```
public interface Logger {
    //Adds a new log entry
    void addLogEntry (long date, String message);
}
```

**Hint:** The data structure for the log should not have a fixed capacity and it should be possible to add retrieving and pruning methods later. You may define as many classes as you need for completing the task.

#### 1.3 Solution

```
public class LockFreeLogger implements Logger {
    private final LogEntry first = new LogEntry(0, "Initializing logger");
    private volatile LogEntry almostLast = first;
```

```
@Override
    public void addLogEntry(long date, String message) {
       LogEntry \ current = null;
       LogEntry \ newEntry = null;
       do {
            for (current = almostLast; current.next.get() != null; current = current.next.
                get());
            newEntry = new LogEntry(date, message);
       } while (!current.next.compareAndSet(null, newEntry));
       almostLast = newEntry;
    }
}
class LogEntry {
   public final long date;
   public final String message;
   public final AtomicReference<LogEntry> next = new AtomicReference<>();
   public LogEntry(long date, String message) {
       this. date = date;
       this. message = message;
    }
}
```

### 2 Spin Lock

#### 2.1 Background

A spinlock[1] is a simple (but not very efficient) lock-free algorithm in which a thread trying to acquire a lock is made to wait in a loop while checking if the lock is free. It is an example of a busy-waiting algorithm because the thread waiting in the loop is not idle, but not doing any useful work either.

### 2.2 Task

You task is to write fill in the pseudocode for the *acquire* and *release* methods of the spinlock class given below. Assume that there is a function  $compare\_and\_swap(target, old, new)$ .

```
class SPINLOCK
 \mathbf{2}
    feature locked: INTEGER
 4
    feature make
 \mathbf{6}
      do
         -- write your code here.
 8
      end
10
    feature acquire
       do
12
             write your code here.
        end
14
     feature release
```

#### 16 **do**

-- write your code here.18 end

 $\mathbf{end}$ 

#### 2.3 Solution

```
1
  class SPINLOCK
 3
      feature
          locked: INTEGER
 5
      feature make
 7
          do
              locked := 0
 9
          end
11
      feature acquire
          local
13
              stop: BOOLEAN
          do
15
              from
                  stop := False
17
              until
                  stop
19
              loop
                  stop := compare\_and\_swap (locked, 0, 1)
21
              end
          end
23
      feature release
25
          do
              locked := 0
27
          end
  end
```

# 3 Atomic update of multiple values

#### 3.1 Background

An online game with thousands of players features a daily high score. The high score consists of the player's name and the score he or she achieved. Profiling determined that the current lock-based implementation is a bottleneck.

#### 3.2 Task

You are asked to provide a prototype of a lock-free solution, pseudo-code is sufficient. You can use an integer for the score. Provide a routine to update the high score if the new score is better and a routine to retrieve the current high score. If you need additional data structures, describe them as well.

You may use atomic CAS: assume that there is a function *compare\_and\_swap(target, old, new)*.

# 3.3 Solution

	A class providing the mechanisms for the daily high score
2	class HIGH_SCORE
	feature $\{NONE\}$
4	The name and score of the player having achieved the highest score today. A tuple is
	used to be able to set it atomically.
	data: TUPLE[name: STRING, score: INTEGER]
6	feature retrieve: TUPLE[STRING, INTEGER]
	Retrieve the name and score of the player currently having the highest score.
8	do
	Atomic retrieval of the current high score. Creating a copy to ensure changes to
	the Result are not propagated.
10	$\mathbf{Result} := data.copy$
	end
12	feature update (a_name: STRING; a_score: INTEGER)
	Checks the current high score and replaces it with the new score by the player
	named 'a_name' if 'a_score' is greater than the current high score.
14	local
	$l\_data$ , $l\_new\_data$ : like $data$
16	$l\_success: BOOLEAN$
	do
18	from
	$l\_success := False$
20	until
	$l\_success$
22	loop
	Atomic retrieval of the current high score.
24	$l_{-}data \ := \ data$
	$l\_success := l\_data. score >= a\_score \text{ or else}$
26	$compare\_and\_swap \ (data, \ l\_data, \ [ \ a\_name, \ a\_score])$
	end
28	end
	end

# References

[1] http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Spinlock