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The exercises in this problem sheet are based on the software model checking slides:

http://se.inf.ethz.ch/courses/2015b_fall/sv/slides/11-SoftwareModelChecking.pdf

1 Predicate Abstraction

Recall that:

- $\text{Pred}(f)$ denotes the weakest under-approximation of the expression $f$ expressible as a Boolean combination of the given predicates.
- The Boolean abstraction of an $\text{assume } c \text{ end}$ statement is $\text{assume } \neg \text{Pred}(\neg c) \text{ end}$ followed by a parallel conditional assignment updating the predicates with respect to the original $\text{assume}$ statement.
- The Boolean abstraction of an $\text{assert } c \text{ end}$ statement is $\text{assert } \text{Pred}(c) \text{ end}$.

Exercises

i. Justify, using Venn diagrams, the use of double negation in the Boolean abstraction of $\text{assume}$ statements.

ii. Consider the following code snippet $C_1$, where $x, y, z$ are integer variables:

```
assume x > 0 end
z := (x*y) + 1
assert z >= 1 end
```

Build the Boolean abstraction $A_1$ of the code snippet $C_1$ with respect to the following set of predicates:

$$
p \triangleq x > 0
q \triangleq y > 0
r \triangleq z > 0
$$

*Some exercises were adapted from earlier ones written by Stephan van Staden and Carlo A. Furia.
iii. Consider the following code snippet $C_2$, where $x, y$ are integer variables:

```plaintext
if x > 0 then
    y := x + x
else
    if x = 0 then
        y := 1
    else
        y := x * x
    end
end
assert y > 0 end
```

(a) Normalise the guards of conditionals using nondeterminism and assume statements.

(b) Build the Boolean abstraction $A_2$ of the normalised code snippet $C_2$ with respect to the following set of predicates:

\[
p \triangleq x > 0 \\
q \triangleq y > 0
\]

2 Error Traces

i. Provide an annotated trace for the Boolean abstraction $A_1$, and a corresponding (feasible) annotated trace for the concrete program $C_1$ in which `assert z >= 1 end` evaluates to false when reached.

ii. Can you verify the Boolean abstraction $A_2$? If not, give a trace as a counterexample and prove whether or not it is spurious.
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